UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORT WORTH DIVISION

KEN PAXTON, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS, ET AL.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

No. 4:22-cv-0143-P

MARVIN RICHARDSON, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS ACTING DIRECTOR, BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, FIREARMS, AND EXPLOSIVES,

Defendant.

ORDER

On April 26, 2022, Defendant filed a Motion to Dismiss ("Motion"), arguing that the Anti-Injunction Act bars Plaintiffs' claims and that Texas lacks standing. ECF No. 10. On May 17, Plaintiffs timely filed a Response to the Motion and a first Amended Complaint. ECF Nos. 11, 12. The Amended Complaint drops the Plaintiff's claims under the Commerce Clause and the Necessary and Proper Clause while splitting their claims under the National Firearms Act into three counts.

Generally, the filing of an amended complaint renders moot a motion to dismiss the original complaint. See New World Int'l, Inc. v. Ford Glob. Techs., LLC, 2017 WL 1078525, at *5 (N.D. Tex. Mar. 22, 2017) (Lynn, C.J.). However, the Court has discretion to apply the original motion to dismiss to the amended complaint if the deficiencies and arguments from the first motion remain relevant. Id. Accordingly, the Court concludes that the Parties' briefing would assist it in this matter.

The Court therefore **ORDERS** the Parties to file a Joint Status Report with the Parties' position regarding whether the Amended Complaint moots the pending Motion **no later than May 24, 2022**.

SO ORDERED on this 19th day of May, 2022.

Mark T. Pittman

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE